$$\hat{a}^{+}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$$
; $\hat{a}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$

Boson subtraction and addition

Due to the symmetrical nature, the probability of a transition in which a photon is created into (subtracted from) state x is proportional to the number of bosons originally in state x.

$$\hat{a}^{+}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle ; \hat{a}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$$

This provides a connection to a harmonic oscillator

Nonclassicalities in multiphoton interference

Luca Rigovacca, Carlo Di Franco and Myungshik Kim Imperial College London











Motivation

• Linear photonic quantum computation/simulation





Motivation

• Nonclassical features of multiphoton interference







Flow of the talk

• Recent experiments of three photon interference





S. Agne et al. PRL 118, 153602 (17)

A. J. Messen *et al*, PRL 118, 153603 (17)

- -- Remarks on nonclassicalities
- Generalisation of Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer Nonclassical criteria

Franson interferometer



FIG. 2. Photon coincidence measurements including interference between the amplitudes along the shorter paths, S_1 and S_2 , and the longer paths, L_1 and L_2 .

Source emits time-correlated photons

 $\int dt \hat{a}^{\dagger}(t) \hat{b}^{\dagger}(t) |00\rangle_{ab}$





At the output ports

$$\frac{1}{4} \int dt \quad \left[\hat{a}^{\dagger}(t) - \hat{c}^{\dagger}(t) + e^{i\phi_1} (\hat{c}^{\dagger}(t-\Delta) + \hat{a}^{\dagger}(t-\Delta)) \right] \\ \left[\hat{b}^{\dagger}(t) - \hat{d}^{\dagger}(t) + e^{i\phi_2} (\hat{d}^{\dagger}(t-\Delta) + \hat{b}^{\dagger}(t-\Delta)) \right] |0\rangle$$



At the output ports (if there is no

$$\frac{1}{4} \int dt dt' \left[\hat{a}^{\dagger}(t) - \hat{c}^{\dagger}(t) + e^{i\phi_{1}} (\hat{c}^{\dagger}(t - \Delta) + \hat{a}^{\dagger}(t - \Delta)) \right] |0\rangle$$

$$\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger}(t') - \hat{d}^{\dagger}(t') + e^{i\phi_{2}} (\hat{d}^{\dagger}(t' - \Delta) + \hat{b}^{\dagger}(t' - \Delta)) \right] |0\rangle$$

Coincident detection probability at the detectors D₁ and D₂

$$\frac{1}{4}(1+\cos\phi_1)(1+\cos\phi_2)$$



Agne et al from Innsbruck

• Extended the Franson interferometer to three-correla





S. Agne *et al.* PRL 118, 153602 (17)

Red={A1A2A3, A1B2B3, B1A2B3, B1B2A3}



Experiment 2: Menssen et al

PRL 118, 153603 (2017)



$$\hat{U}_{\text{tritter}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & e^{4\pi i/3} & e^{2\pi i/3}\\ 1 & e^{2\pi i/3} & e^{4\pi i/3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Decomposition of a beam tritter



Generalised Hong-Ou-Mandel experiments with bosons and fermions Lim and Beige, New J. Phys 7, 155 (2005)



Nonclassical properties

- Q: How do we detect input not classical by measuring output intensities after their interference?
- Let us consider the Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer



Two photons do interfere





Hong-Ou-Mandel experiment





Hong et al., PRL

- Zero coincidence detection Nonclassical effect
- 50% visibility is the classical limit
 - Where is this limit from?



Hong-Ou-Mandel Interferometer



- Two coherent states of the same amplitudes interfere
- One output port will be empty
- Coincident detection rate is zero



Hong-Ou-Mandel Interferometer



- Assumption 1: Two input fields have random phases <E>=0
- How about each input is from {E, -E} then <E>=0: Coincident detection rate is zero
- Assumption 2: $\langle E_1 E_2 \rangle = 0$



Hanbury Brown and Twiss Interferometer



partially identical photons

(a)
$$\langle \mathbf{O} | \mathbf{O} \rangle = \mathbf{O} e^{i\varphi_{\mathbf{O}}}$$

(b)
$$P_{11} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \mathbf{O}^2)$$

Overlap phase information becomes relevant

(c)
$$P_{111} = \frac{1}{9} \left[2 + 4 \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc (\varphi) \right]$$

(d)
$$\varphi = \varphi_{\bigcirc} + \varphi_{\bigcirc} + \varphi_{\bigcirc}$$

(e)
$$P_{110} = \frac{1}{9} \left(2 - \mathbf{O}^2\right)$$

PRL 118, 153603 (2017)

$|\phi_1\rangle = |t_1\rangle \otimes |H\rangle$

Three photon coincidences



No bunching effects

PRL 118, 153603 (2017)

Lim and Beige, New J. Phys 7, 155 (2005)

 $|\phi_1\rangle = |t_1\rangle \otimes |H\rangle$

Three photon coincidences



No bunching effects

PRL 118, 153603 (2017)



• Third-order correlation function

$$g_{C}^{(3)} = 1 + \frac{4}{9}r_{12}r_{23}r_{31}\cos\varphi - \frac{3}{9}(r_{12}^{2} + r_{23}^{2} + r_{31}^{2})$$
$$g_{Q}^{(3)} = \frac{2}{9} + \frac{4}{9}r_{12}r_{23}r_{31}\cos\varphi - \frac{1}{9}(r_{12}^{2} + r_{23}^{2} + r_{31}^{2})$$

Q vs C



<u>Hypotheses</u>: Independent sources emit light pulses with random phases





<u>Hypotheses</u>: Independent sources emit light pulses with random phases

$$\bar{G}^{(cl)} = \frac{1}{\binom{M}{2}} \sum_{i < j}^{M} \frac{\langle l_i l_j \rangle}{\langle l_i \rangle \langle l_j \rangle} \quad \text{Average correlations} \\ \text{among all output pairs} \\ \mathbf{E}_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\lambda} \int d\omega \mathbf{g}_{\omega,\lambda} e^{-i\omega t} \epsilon_{\omega,\lambda} \\ \mathbf{O}_i(t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} T_{i\alpha} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha}(t - \tau_{i\alpha}) \\ \text{Rigovacca et al., PRL, 117, 213602 (2016)} \end{cases}$$

<u>Hypotheses</u>: Independent sources emit light pulses with random phases

$$\mathbf{T} \quad \uparrow_{N_d \text{ detectors}} \quad \bar{G}^{(cl)} = \frac{1}{\binom{M}{2}} \sum_{i < j}^{M} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} \quad \text{A}$$

Average correlations among all output pairs

 $\overline{G}^{(cl)}$ has a minimum for every N_d , N_s !



$$\overline{\operatorname{min}}\overline{G}_{N,M}^{(cl)} = 1 - \frac{N-1}{N(M-1)} \text{ if } N \leq M$$
$$= 1 - \frac{1}{M} \text{ if } N \geq M$$

<u>Hypotheses</u>: Independent sources emit light pulses with random phases

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{I_i I_j}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_d}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_d} \sum_{k=1}^{$$

Average correlations among all output pairs

 $\overline{G}^{(cl)}$ has a minimum for every N_d , N_s !



$$\min \bar{G}^{(Q)} = 1 - \frac{1+\eta}{M} \le \min \bar{G}^{(cl)}$$
$$0 \le \eta = -\frac{(\Delta n)^2 - \langle \hat{n} \rangle}{\langle \hat{n} \rangle^2} \le 1$$

Hypotheses: Independent sources emit light pulses with random phases

$$\mathbf{T} \quad \int_{N_d} \Lambda_d \text{ detectors} \quad \bar{G}^{(cl)} = \frac{1}{\binom{M}{2}} \sum_{i < j} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle}$$

Average correlations among all output pairs

 $\overline{G}^{(cl)}$ has a minimum for every N_d , N_s !



What happens with quantum input states?

- ✓ Classical threshold can be violated
- ✓ Necessity of sub-Poissonian light
- ✓ Optimality of single photons

Hypotheses: Independent sources emit light pulses with random phases

$$\mathbf{T} \quad \int_{N_s \text{ sources}} N_d \text{ detectors} \quad \bar{G}^{(cl)} = \frac{1}{\binom{M}{2}} \sum_{i < j} \frac{\langle I_i I_j \rangle}{\langle I_i \rangle \langle I_j \rangle}$$

Average correlations among all output pairs

 $\overline{G}^{(cl)}$ has a minimum for every N_d , N_s !



What happens with quantum input states?

- $\checkmark~$ Classical threshold can be violated
- ✓ Necessity of sub-Poissonian light
- ✓ Optimality of single photons
- For identical input states, the gap shrinks with system dimensionality

Remarks

<u>Problem</u>: detecting signatures of nonclassicality in a multiport linear optical interferometer

- Generalization of Hong-Ou-Mandel result
- Usefulness of low-order correlation functions
- Lower bound for classical intensity correlations
- Threshold violated with quantum states of light

Moreover:

Possibility of deducing information on sources/interferometer by the third-order correlation function

Thank you!

Rigovacca et al., arXiv 1712.07259 (2017)

Third-order correlation function

$$g_Q^{(3)} = rac{\langle \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \hat{I}_3 \rangle}{\langle \hat{I}_1 \rangle \langle \hat{I}_2 \rangle \langle \hat{I}_3 \rangle}$$

$$g_Q^{(3)} = \frac{2}{9} + \frac{4}{9}r_{12}r_{23}r_{31}\cos\varphi - \frac{1}{9}(r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2)$$

$$\langle \phi_{\alpha} | \phi_{\beta}
angle = r_{lpha eta} \mathrm{e}^{i \varphi_{lpha eta}}$$

